

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,750	10/23/2003	Steven F. Oakland	BUR920030124US1 2749	
21254 7.	590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			WELLS, KENNETH B	
**	URTHOUSE ROAD		ARTIBUT	DA DED MIMDED
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2816	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Nu
Advisory Action	10/605,750	OAKLAND ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Kenneth B. Wells	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ace event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the extermining the period of extermining the extermining the period of extermining the period of extermining the period of extermining the extermining the period of extermining the period of extermining the extermin	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): 112, second paragraph		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or t vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	;		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-5,7,8 and 10-20</u> .	•		
Claim(s) objected to: 2.	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,21 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	•		
10. Other:	(7)		
	•	1/2 4	1.1.1

Kenneth B. Wells
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2816

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/605,750

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation added to claim 1 has not been previously considered or searched, thus raising a new patentability issue after final.